

This document is classified as **Clear** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Recipients can distribute this information to the world, there is no limit on disclosure. Information may be shared without restriction subject to copyright.

MP263 ‘Improving transparency of DCC indicative costs’

Conclusions Report – version 0.1

About this document

This document summarises the responses received to the Modification Report Consultation regarding approval or rejection of this modification.

Summary of conclusions

Modification Report Consultation

SECAS received six responses to the Modification Report Consultation. All respondents believed that the modification should be approved. They considered the modification better facilitated SEC Objective (g)¹.

¹ facilitate the efficient and transparent administration and implementation of this Code.

Modification Report Consultation responses

Summary of responses

The Modification Report Consultation respondents believed that MP263 should be approved. Three respondents believed that the modification would facilitate the transparency of DCC indicative costs.

One respondent highlighted that a process should be put in place with regards to acknowledging any errors, so DCC Users are aware of next steps and visibility of a likely timeline for republication, as there may be instances where the five-day window should be extended. The five-day window stated in the legal text relates to acknowledgement and notification of an error being identified. The re-publication of a corrected statement would be done by the DCC as soon as reasonably practicable, as Ofgem approval may be sought, depending on the materiality of the error.

Views against the General SEC Objectives

Objective (g)

The Modification Report Consultation respondents believed that MP263 will better facilitate SEC Objective (g) – facilitate the efficient and transparent administration and implementation of this Code.